


1641
Ifw



Practitioner's Docket No. 2331/111

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: John C. Cheronis, David Trollinger

Application No.: 09/595,720

Group No.: 1641

Filed: June 16, 2000

Examiner: Lum, Leon Y.

For: A Quantitative Assay of Low Abundance Molecules (as amended)

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated January 11, 2005.

STATUS

2. Applicant is other than a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply. Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is *mandatory*;
Express Mail certification is *optional*.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

☒ with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. § 1.10*

☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) _____ - _____

Signature

Date: January 18, 2005

Barbara J. Carter

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY			
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE		
TOTAL	30	– 44	= 0	x \$ 25.00	= \$	0.00	
INDEP.	2	– 4	= 0	x \$ 100.00	= \$	0.00	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM				+ \$ 0.00	= \$	0.00	
				TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$	0.00	


No additional fee for claims is required.

FEE DEFICIENCY


5. If an additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 19-4972.

If an additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 19-4972.

Date: January 18, 2005



 Barbara J. Carter
 Registration No. 52,703
 Bromberg & Sunstein LLP
 125 Summer Street
 Boston, MA 02110-1618
 US
 617-443-9292
 Customer No. 02101



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Cheronis, et al. Atty Dkt: 2331/111
Serial No: 09/595,720 Examiner: Lum, Leon Y.
Date Filed: 6/16/2000 Group No: 1641
Invention: A Quantitative Assay Date: January 18, 2005
Of Low Abundance Molecules

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the attached Response E is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313, to the attention of Examiner Leon Y. Lum, on January 18, 2005.



Barbara J. Carter

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Dear Sir:

Applicants submit this paper in response to the Notice on Non-Compliant Amendment of January 11, 2005, and request that the following amendments be entered.

Revised RESPONSE F

Applicants thank Supervisory Examiner Le, and Examiner Lum, for the non-final office action ("the Office Action") of June 21, 2004. Applicants hereby submit this communication to the Office Action, and ask that the following amendments be entered. Applicants believe that the amendments in Response E, submitted on November 24, 2003 were entered, but that the amendments to the claims that were to have been added by Examiner's Amendment by previous Examiner Cook were not entered. Therefore, the amendments discussed by telephone on March 24, 2004 are presented below, with markings.

INDEX

Amendments to the Specification begin on p. 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims begin on p. 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on p. 9 of this paper.